BIRDS CAUGHT IN SPIDER WEBS: A SYNTHESIS OF PATTERNS

DANIEL M. BROOKS¹

ABSTRACT.—Results of queries through public avian list-servers and a thorough literature search formed a data base to synthesize patterns of birds trapped in spider webs. Sixty-nine cases of birds, representing 54 species in 23 families, were reported trapped in webs. Hummingbirds were the most diverse family (nine species) and had the most cases of entrapment (n = 20). Archilochus colubris represented the species with the most cases of entrapment (n = 6). Mean mass and wing chord length of all species trapped were 11 g and 61 mm, respectively. Eighty-seven percent of all individuals had mass ≤ 15 g and 88% had a wing chord <90 mm. Phaethornis longuemareus and Mellisuga minima represented the smallest species (mass = 2 g, wing chord = 37 mm), and Streptopelia senegalensis was the largest (mass = 80 g, wing chord = 138 mm). Thirty cases of birds were entrapped without human intervention: 22 died and eight not wrapped in silk freed themselves. Those wrapped in silk invariably died unless freed by a human observer. One-half of all reported species were of the genus Nephila, and all were orb weavers except for a single Latrodectus. Nephila clavipes entrapped nine species representing 14 cases, ranging from Mellisuga minima (mass = 2 g, wing chord = 93 mm). Patterns, causes, and consequences of birds entrapped in spider webs are discussed, including orb weavers as opportunistic predators of birds trapped in webs, and spider webs as a natural environmental hazard to birds. Received 31 August 2011. Accepted 7 December 2011.

Birds have a wide variety of predators. Top predators to birds include humans, who have a long history of harvesting birds for sport, protein, and ritual (Brooks 1999). Other predators of birds include fish (Lockwood 1922), reptiles (Dove et al. 2011), amphibians (Norris-Elye 1944), mammals (Bisbal 1986), and a variety of avian species ranging from raptors (Mayr 1966) to certain passerines (Graves 1978). Hymenopterans (Grant 1959), odonates (Hofslund 1977), and mantids (Carignan 1988) have also been reported to attack birds, especially smaller species such as hummingbirds (Trochilidae).

Other cases involving invertebrates include birds trapped in webs of orb weaver spiders, of which there are numerous accounts in regional journals (e.g., Coale 1912, Lockwood 1922, Kirkham 1925, Abbot 1931, Bent 1953, Grant 1959, Morris 1963, Doberski 1973, Pratt 1974, Vernon 1976, Hofslund 1977, Donnelly 1980, Dean 1984, Gosling 1984, Levy 1987, Carignan 1988, McKenzie 1991, Shaw 1994, Cheke and Mann 2001, Heck and Heck 2001, Riddell 2001, Engel 2006, Cox and Nesmith 2007, Peloso and de Sousa 2007, Brooks et al. 2008). The pattern of birds trapped in spider webs has not been properly synthesized to date despite extensive documentation because these observations are largely considered incidental and often dismissed by scientists as unremarkable (Graham 1997). For example, Lima (1993) broadly synthesized patterns of predator escape by North American birds but did not include fates of birds trapped in spider webs. Miller and Gass (1985) examined patterns of predation of hummingbirds and concluded the majority of the incidents were unusual and inconsistent. All cases they examined were of vertebrate predators except one, and that single case was not a spider.

Two colleagues and I found a web-entrapped Swainson's Thrush (Catharus ustulatus) in September 2007 while inspecting damage to wildlife sanctuaries on High Island (Galveston County, Texas) following Hurricane Humberto (Brooks et al. 2008). Subsequent literature review revealed a plethora of short published and unpublished reports of birds entrapped in spider webs with extensive variation in species and their respective fates. The objective of this synthesis is to examine patterns and fates of birds trapped in spider webs. This topic warrants investigation because birds trapped in spider webs have been reported in the literature on multiple occasions and may represent more than a series of trivial incidents. Even the most detailed reports to date (e.g., Graham 1997, Cox and Nesmith 2007, Peloso and de Sousa 2007, Sakai 2007) only examined a single or limited number of cases and lacked a thorough synthesis of multiple cases.

METHODS

Data were obtained from an exhaustive literature search and replies to queries to several avian list-servers (NEOORN-L, ORNITH-L, African-Birding-L) on 17 September 2007. Some recipients of the initial posting forwarded the message

¹Houston Museum of Natural Science, Department of Vertebrate Zoology, 5555 Hermann Park Drive, Houston, TX 77030, USA; e-mail: dbrooks@hmns.org

to numerous individuals as well as to an Australian list-server (BirdingAus-L) on 19 September. All replies were tabularized into a data set. A follow-up e-mail posted to the same list-servers on 25 September resulted in additional information which expanded the data set. A literature search occurred during mid September 2007-mid November 2011 with most references from before 2008.

Only cases of birds trapped in a single spider web were included for consistency of comparisons. Reports of birds found encumbered with silk strands attached to the body were not included because the circumstances prior to the observation were undocumented. The bird may have simply picked up strands of an abandoned, broken spider web during flight.

Wing chord data were collected from study specimens at the Houston Museum of Natural Science (HMNS), the Field Museum (FMNH), and the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH). Measurements were collected using standard dial calipers and measured to the nearest mm. Mass (g) data were gleaned from specimen tags at HMNS and FMNH, and from Dunning (2008) for AMNH specimens where mass data were lacking from tags.

Birds could be trapped in a web strictly due to entanglement in the sticky threading. Those wrapped in silk by the spider did not survive and this was noted when the data were compiled. Additional bird fates examined included whether entrapped birds were: (1) able to free themselves or released with human intervention (and if they subsequently survived), (2) killed or consumed by a spider, or (3) found dead in the web. Chi-square tests were used to test probabilities ($P \le 0.05$) with the exception of a Pearson product-moment correlation used to test the relationship between avian mass and wing chord.

RESULTS

Patterns of Diversity and Size of Trapped Birds.— Sixty-nine cases of birds representing 54 species in 23 families were reported trapped in spider webs (Table 1). The Ruby-throated Hummingbird (*Archilochus colubris*) represented the species with the most cases of entrapment (n = 6). Hummingbirds (Trochilidae) represented the most diverse family with nine species, as well as the family with the most cases of entrapment (n = 20).

Avian mass ($\xi = 11$ g) was significantly correlated with wing chord ($\xi = 61$ mm) (r = 0.7851, P < 0.0001, n = 67). Sixty (87%) of the 69 cases of entrapped birds have a mass ≤ 15 g and 61 (88%) have a wing chord < 90 mm (Fig. 1). The Little Hermit (*Phaethornis long-uemareus*) and Vervain Hummingbird (*Mellisuga minima*) represent the smallest species with a mass of 2 g and wing chord of 37 mm, whereas the largest species was the Laughing Dove (*Streptopelia senegalensis*) with mass of 80 g and wing chord of 138 mm.

Patterns of Bird Fates.—Sixty-six cases of avian fates were reported. Sixty-two included information detailing whether birds were wrapped in spider silk: 18 cases (29%) involved birds wrapped in silk and 44 (71%) did not ($X^2 = 10.08$, P < 0.001, n = 62). Both wrapped (range = 2–34 g) and unwrapped (range = 2–80 g) birds have a mean mass of 11 g and differences were not significant, as were differences in wing chord for wrapped (58 mm, range = 41–96 mm) versus unwrapped (64 mm, range = 37–138 mm) birds.

Twelve (66%) birds wrapped in silk were found dead in the web and six (33%) were assisted by human intervention (5 released unharmed, 1 died). Eight (18%) of the birds not wrapped in silk freed themselves and three (7%) were dead. Thirty-three (75%) were assisted by human intervention (31 released unharmed, 2 died). Only eight birds were able to free themselves without intervention, whereas the number surviving due to human intervention was 31 ($X^2 = 12.42$, P < 0.0003, n = 39).

Twenty-two (73%) birds in the natural and unbiased cases died as a result of spider web entrapment. This excluded biased situations where humans intervened and released trapped birds unharmed but added four additional cases with no data on silk wrapping to bring the total number of cases of entrapped birds to 30. All eight cases of birds that freed themselves from webs were not wrapped in silk; those wrapped in silk invariably died unless freed by a human observer. Mean mass of the eight birds that were able to free themselves was 11 g (range = 5-34 g) and wing chord was 66 mm (range = 56-129 mm), whereas the 22 that died had a mean mass of 9 g (range =2-34 g) and wing chord of 53 mm (range = 37-96 mm). The mass and wing chord of birds that freed themselves without intervention was slightly greater than those that died, but the results are not statistically significant for both measurements.

Patterns of Spider Taxonomy and Pervasiveness.—All of the 46 records that included a description of the spider associated with the web were orb weavers except for a single case, an

Common name	Latin name	Spider sp.	Fate	Mass (gm)	Wing (mm)	Source
Doves	Columbidae					
Laughing Dove	Streptopelia senegalensis	<i>Nephila</i> sp.	NW, RU	80	138	D. Forsman in litt.
Hummingbirds				ų		
Long-Dilled Hermit	Phaethornis tongirostris	Nephila clavipes	NW, KU	n '	00	Sakai 2007
Long-billed Hermit	P.longirostris	N.clavipes	NW, F	5	56	Graham 1997
Long-billed Hermit	P.longirostris	N.clavipes	NW, F	5	56	Graham 1997
Long-billed Hermit	P.longirostris	N.clavipes	W, RU	5	56	Graham 1997
Little Hermit	P.longue marens	N.clavipes	W, RU	2	37	Graham 1997
Vervain Hummingbird	Mellisuga minima	N.clavipes	NW, K	2	37	Levy 1987
Vervain Hummingbird	M.minima	N.clavipes	NW, K	7	37	Levy 1987
White-chinned Sapphire	Hylocharis cyanus	Orb Weaver (Mastophora)	NW, RU	4	50	P. Rojas in litt.
Copper-rumped Hummingbird	Amazilia tobaci	Orb Weaver	W, RU	5	50	P. Clavijo M. in litt.
Rufous-tailed Hummingbird	A. tzacatl	<i>Nephila</i> sp.	NW, RU	5	54	B. Sanjur in litt.
Anna's Hummingbird	Calypte anna	Orb Weaver	NW, RU	4	48	Stott 1951
Anna's Hummingbird	C.anna	ż	?, K	4	48	Abbot 1931
Anna's Hummingbird	C.anna	ż	NW, RU	4	48	Abbot 1931
Costa's Hummingbird	C.costae	Orb Weaver	NW, RU	33	43	Woods 1934
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	Orb Weaver	W, K	2	41	K. Fraser in litt.
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	A. colubris	Argiope sp.	W, K	2	41	B. Freeman in litt.
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	A. colubris	<i>.</i>	?, K	2	41	J. Finley in litt.
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	A. colubris	Neoscona hentzii	NW, RD	7	41	McKenzie 1991
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	A. colubris	Aranens trifolium	NW, RU	2	41	Kirkham 1925
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	A. colubris	Argiope caphinarium	W, RD	2	41	McCook 1889
Kingfishers	Alcedinidae					
African Pygmy Kingfisher Flycatchers	Ispidina picta Tvrannidae	<i>Nephila</i> sp.	NW, RU	13	55	R. Hargreaves in litt.
Yellow-bellied Flycatcher	Empidonax flaviventris	Argiope sp.	NW, RU	11	55	B. Freeman in litt.
Acadian Flycatcher	E. virescens	Nephila clavipes	NW, RU	12	64	Cox and Nesmith 2007
Common Tody-Flycatcher	Todirostrum cinereum	Nephilengys cruentata	NW, K	9	50	Peloso and de Sousa 2007
Fairy-wrens	Maluridae					
Superb Fairywren	Maluruscyaneus	Nephila clavipes	W, K	15	53	J. Smart in litt.
Red-backed Fairywren	M. melanocephalus	Nephilia maculata	?, K	7	41	Pratt 1974
Honeyeaters	Meliphagidae					
Grey-headed Honeyeater	Lichenostomus keartlandi	;	W, K	15	72	P. Veerman in litt.
Lewins' Honeyeater	Meliphaga lewinii	<i>Nephila</i> sp.	W, K	34	96	Anon. 2006
New Holland Honeyeater	Phylidonyris novaehollandiae	Garden Orb-weaver (Eriophora	W, K	21	78	Engel 2006
		biapicata))

Brooks • BIRDS CAPTURED IN SPIDER WEBS

The Wilson Journal of Ornithology wils-124-02-18.3d 23/3/12 15:48:29 347 Cust # 11-148

Common name	Latin name	Spider sp.	Fate	Mass (gm)	Wing (mm)	Source
Australasian Warblers	Acanthizidae	c	66	×	84	D Hoddan in litt
Vireos	Vireonidae		• ••	D	f	D. Haundi III IIII.
White-eyed Vireo*	Vireo griseus	Nephila clavipes	2, 2	13	60	Forbush and May 1939
Drongos	Dicruridae					
Fork-tailed Drongo	Dicrurus adsimilis	Orb Weaver	NW, F	34	129	Robinson 1941
Swallows	Hirundinidae					
Blue-and-white Swallow	Notiochelidon cyanoleuca	smaller than a <i>Nephila</i> sp.	NW, RU	11	90	S. Cabanne in litt.
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	ż	NW, RU	10	112	K. Garrett in litt.
Welcome Swallow	H.neoxena	Nephilia maculata	NW, RU	15	115	Pratt 1974
African Warblers	Macrosphenidae					
Red-faced Crombec	Sylvietta whytii	ż	W, K	11	64	Vernon 1976
Bushtits	Aegithalidae					
Bushtit	Psaltriparus minimus	?	NW, RD	5	43	Abbot 1931
Leaf Warblers	Phylloscopidae					
Dusky Warbler	Phylloscopus fuscatus	ż	NW, RU	11	57	D. Zetterström in litt.
Goldcrests and Kinglets	Regulidae					
Goldcrest	Regulusregulus	Orb Weaver	NW, RU	5	55	Dean 1984
Golden-crowned Kinglet	R. satrapa	Orb Weaver	NW, RU	5	57	K. Garrett in litt.
Wrens	Troglodytidae					
Bewick's Wren	Thryomanes bewickii	?	NW, F	10	52	T. Riecke in litt.
Winter Wren	Troglodytes hiemalis	Araneidae	NW, RU	6	52	Gosling 1984
House Wren	T. aedon	?	NW, RU	10	53	Anon. 2007
Thrushes	Turdidae					
Swainson's Thrush	Catharus ustulatus	Nephila clavipes	NW, RU	23	93	Brooks et al. 2008
Old World Flycatchers	Muscicapidae					
Spotted Flycatcher	Muscicapa striata	ż	NW, RU	14	80	Doberski 1973
Sunbirds	Nectariniidae					
Olive Sunbird	Cyanomitra olivacea	ż	W, K	10	53	Cheke and Mann 2001
Olive Sunbird	C.olivacea	ż	W, K	10	53	Cheke and Mann 2001
Amethyst Sunbird	Chalcomitra amethystina	Orb Weaver	NW, F	10	71	J. Norman in litt.
Seychelles Sunbird*	Cinnyris dussumieri	Palm Spiders	W, K	11	63	Cheke and Mann 2001
Weavers	Ploecidae					
Red-headed Weaver	Anaplectes rubriceps	?	NW, RU	20	80	Shaw 1994
Waxbills and Munias	Estrildidae					
Red-billed Firefinch	Lagonosticta senegala	Latrodectes sp.	?, K	8	47	Morris 1963

THE WILSON JOURNAL OF ORNITHOLOGY • Vol. 124, No. 2, June 2012

Common name	Latin name	Spider sp.	Fate	Mass (gm)	Wing (mm)	Source
Jameson's Firefinch	Lagonosticta rhodopareia	Golden Orb Spider (Nephilengys cruentata)	NW, RU	6	49	Riddell 2001
Blue Waxbill	Uraeginthus angolensis	Nephila sp.	NW, RU	6	51	Webber 1974
Chestnut-breasted Mannikin	Lonchura castaneothorax	Garden Orb-weaver	W, K	14	55	Malkin 2008
New World Finches	Fringillidae					
House Finch	Carpodacus mexicanus	ż	NW, RU	15	76	Abbot 1931
Lesser Goldfinch	Spinus psaltria	ż	NW, RU	11	65	F. Beaudry in litt.
American Goldfinch	S. tristis	Argiope aurantia	W, RU	12	68	Mackay 1929
New World Warblers	Parulidae	•				
Virginia's Warbler	Oreothlypis virginiae	ż	NW, F	10	62	G. Wallace in litt.
Yellow Warbler	Septophaga petechia	ż	W, RU	6	60	Coale 1912
Yellow-throated Warbler	S. dominica	Nephila clavipes	NW, RU	10	63	Bent 1953
Yellow-throated Warbler	S. dominica	N.clavipes	W, K	10	63	Bent 1953
Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas		NW, F	6	50	Ross 1950
Common Yellowthroat	G.trichas	Argiope aurantia	NW, RU	6	50	Heck and Heck 2001
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	Nephila clavipes	NW, RU	5	65	S. and B. Hills in litt.
New World Sparrows	Emberizidae	r.				
Yellow-bellied Seedeater	Sporophila nigricollis	ż	NW, F	8	52	A. M. Cuervo in litt.
Greater Antillean Bullfinch	Loxigilla violacea	Banana Orb Weaver	NW, RU	24	62	B. Howe in litt.
Grasshopper Sparrow	Ammodramus savannarum	Argiope aurantia	NW, RU	17	55	Terres 1939

KEY: * = fledgings, ?? = unknown, W = wrapped in web threading, NW = not wrapped in web threading. *F* = freed self, RU = disentangled and released unhar did not survive, *K* = killed/consumed by spider or found dead in web. Taxonomy follows American Omithologists' Union (2011) and Gill and Donsker (2011).

Brooks • BIRDS CAPTURED IN SPIDER WEBS

THE WILSON JOURNAL OF ORNITHOLOGY • Vol. 124, No. 2, June 2012



FIG. 1. Size of birds entrapped in spider webs. Data points to the left of the vertical line represent species with mass \leq 15 g. Data points below the horizontal line represent species with wing chord <90 mm.

African black widow (*Latrodectus* sp.) that killed a Red-billed Firefinch (*Lagonosticta senegala*). One-half of all reported cases (n = 23) that identified the spiders entrapping birds in webs were in the genus *Nephila*, including *N. inaurata* (1), *N. maculata* (2), and *N. clavipes* (14). Other forms of orb weavers included six cases for the genus *Argiope* including *A. aurantia* (1) and *A. caphinarium* (3), two cases for *Eriophora biapicata* and *Nephilengys cruentata*, and single cases for *Aranens trifolium*, *Mastophora* sp., and *Neoscona hentzii*.

The only species of spider with sufficient data for analysis of patterns of bird entrapment was *N. clavipes*. This species entrapped nine species representing 14 cases (31% of all spider records). Trapped birds ranged in size from Vervain Hummingbird (mass = 2 g, wing chord = 37 mm) to Swainson's Thrush (mass = 23 g, wing chord = 93 mm). Four (31%) of the 13 birds with fate data were wrapped in the web, and nine (69%) were not. Two cases each were found for unwrapped birds dying, unwrapped birds freeing themselves, and wrapped birds dying in the web; the remaining seven were released with human intervention.

DISCUSSION

Patterns of Birds Trapped in Spider Webs.— Most entrapped birds had a mass of ≤ 15 g and wing chord <90 mm. Larger species of birds are especially vulnerable to entrapment when the web was approached at an indirect angle with slow flight speed (Cox and Nesmith 2007). Larger birds with longer, more powerful wings appear to be able to break through webs easier than smaller birds, which would explain the higher rates of entanglement for smaller species (Fig. 1). The longer a bird is entrapped, the more likely it is to succumb due to stress and fatigue. It is possible that large species (> 15 g mass, ≥ 90 mm wing chord) were entangled more frequently, but freed themselves before the event could be documented.

It is not surprising that hummingbirds (range = 2-5 g mass, 37-56 mm wing chord) represent not only the most diverse family (9 species), but also the family with the most cases of being trapped in a spider web (20 cases). The small size of

members of this family makes them more vulnerable to web entrapment, especially the smaller species: six (67%) of the nine species and 14 (70%) of the 20 cases have a mass ≤ 4 g and wing chord ≤ 50 mm. The next smallest birds trapped in comparison include only three cases in two families: Aegithalidae (Bushtit, *Psaltriparus minimus*; 5 g mass, 40 mm wing chord) and Regulidae (Goldcrest, *Regulus regulus*; 5 g mass, 55 mm wing chord and Golden-crowned Kinglet, *R. satrapa*; 5 g mass, 57 mm wing chord).

More than one-half (n = 36) of the cases of birds entrapped in spider webs were released unharmed by the humans reporting the incident. When these cases were excluded, 73% (n = 22)died due to web entrapment, and the only cases of birds naturally freeing themselves (n = 8) were not wrapped in silk. Consequently, the chance for a bird to survive web entrapment is affected by its ability to free itself prior to being immobilized by the spider. The sheer size of a bird alone could deter a spider from immobilizing it, as spiders are often reluctant to wrap prey too large to successfully consume (Sakai 2007).

Are Spiders and their Webs a Threat to Birds?— Orb weavers will cut their web to rid it of undesirable debris (Robinson and Mirick 1971). However, it is not desirable for a web to be destroyed by a bird flying through it, and one of the many functions of a web is to visually deter birds from flying into them (Bruce et al. 2005). This was illustrated by Robinson and Robinson (1976) who described how a tame, experimental Hooded Butcherbird (Cracticus cassicus) accidentally flew through a Nephila maculata web with devastating results to both the spider with a destroyed web, and the bird which took several minutes to preen the web threading from its feathers and was cautious for the subsequent 3 weeks. This case details the consequences to both bird and spider of a web collision but, more importantly, indicates that webs do not always serve as visible deterrents to flying birds. This synthesis presents 69 cases of birds entangled in spider webs, suggesting that spider webs can fail as visual deterrents for many species of birds, concordant with Robinson and Robinson (1976).

The primary purpose of venom in most species of spiders is to subdue insect prey rather than harm larger species of vertebrates (Shear 1986). Certain tarantulas (e.g., *Theraphosa, Avicularia*) are sufficiently large to predate eggs and nestlings of birds but do not specialize on them (Shear 1986). Orb weaver size, web radius, and web height are the most important factors affecting abundance and size of prey captured; these same parameters are important for interspecific niche separation (Brown 1981). These spiders catch winged prey in higher webs whereas larger, jumping insect prey are caught more frequently at lower strata (Brown 1981). Orb weavers use a variety of tactics to immobilize prey. More primitive forms such as Nephila often bite to subdue their prey whereas Argiope and Eriophora wrap their prey in silk (Weems and Edwards 1978). Most research on orb weaver foraging has shown specialization on insects (Rypstra 1985, Higgins 1987). Orb weaver mouthparts are too small to specialize on birds (Sakai 2007) or to suggest coevolution for bird specialization, but orb weavers will opportunistically predate a small bird that gets caught in the web. This review documents 18 cases of birds wrapped in silk for consumption, and each case resulted in death unless freed by a human observer. A more limited number of cases showed actual consumption by a spider without the bird being wrapped in silk (Levy 1987, Peloso and de Sousa 2007). These cases are contrary to Graham's (1997) speculation that orb weavers do not prey on birds.

Spider Webs and Natural Environmental Threats to Birds .- A variety of avian species feed on spiders and use spider web for nesting material (Waide and Hailman 1977); birds in these situations are likely to be aware of the web and do not become entangled (McKenzie 1991). Birds traveling along direct flight paths, the same open understory areas favored by orb weavers to build webs (Graham 1997), are more likely to become caught, just as a bird can collide with a mist-net. Trapped birds may have been moving within a lek site (Sakai 2007), chasing prey, fleeing danger, or traveling to a new site. Orb weavers are perhaps the largest arboreal spiders with a web that can attain >1 m in radius with strong and sticky fresh silk strands (Lubin 1978, Griffiths and Salanitri 1980). Over 50 different species of birds (Table 1) have been trapped in these large webs spanning open flight paths. Being trapped in a web also makes a bird vulnerable to predation by a larger vertebrate predator (Graham 1997), if the bird is not immobilized by the spiders themselves.

Natural environmental hazards are rare in nature. Another example besides spider webs is bird entanglement in plants, which was reviewed by Hager et al. (2009). They similarly reviewed the anecdotal literature and found 32 cases of plant entanglements affecting 25 species of birds, compared to a much higher 69 cases of spider web entanglement of 54 species in my study. The overall size of birds trapped in plants was much greater with seabirds (e.g., pelicans, gulls, and murrelets) and hawks representing one-third of the cases. Moreover, all but one of those cases involving larger species resulted in mortality. The overall mortality rate between the two studies was similar with 78% (n = 25) of avian mortalities due to plant entanglement versus 73% (n = 22) of 30 cases of birds trapped in spider webs that were not assisted by human observers. More than onehalf of the cases involved the plant burdock (Arctium minus), whereas all birds but one case in my study were trapped in orb weaver webs.

The number of orb weaver webs increases with environmental disturbances, such as following a hurricane (Brooks et al. 2008) or local extinction of predators which consume spiders (e.g., Guam; Haldre Rogers, pers. comm.). The number of webs could ostensibly increase following increased environmental disturbance with increasing environmental instability. This may increase the number of birds trapped in webs (Brooks et al. 2008) as spider webs become concentrated at higher densities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research provides an example of the importance of reporting interesting natural history notes and keeping good field records. The data synthesized to examine the reported patterns would not have been possible without the careful records of others, including amateur naturalists who published their field notes. I kindly thank the many individuals who replied to queries on NEOORN-L, ORNITH -L, AfricanBirding-L, and BirdingAus-L. I am grateful to the following individuals for providing unpublished accounts: Fred Beaudry, Gustavo Cabanne, Pepe Clavijo, Andres Cuervo, Joelle Finley, Dick Forsman, Kevin Fraser, Brush Freeman, Kimball Garrett, Don Hadden, Roy Hargreaves, Bill Howe, Nicole Michel, Jenny Norman, Martim Pinheiro de Melo, Thomas Riecke, Pepe Rojas, Boris Sanjur, Jim Smart, Paul Smith, Peo Usher, Philip Veerman, George Wallace, and Dan Zetterström. I also thank those who provided literature sources and other information: Raymond Arsenault, Clive Barlow, Frederik Brammer, Gustavo Cabanne, Charles Collins, Jim Cox, Ian Hinze, Jim Ingold, Scott Johnson, Leo Joseph, Jeremy Kirchman, Dan Klem Jr., Catherine Levy, Erin Mills, Malcolm Ogilvie, Bill Pranty, Travis Rosenberry, Paul Smith, John Tucker, Peo Usher, Dirk Van Tuerenhout, and Thomas Zuechner. My gratitude is extended to the following individuals for permitting access to collections or assisting with data collection: Mary Hart and Christine Riehl (AMNH), Peter Lowther (FMNH), and Donna Meadows and Martha Magee (HMNS). Dave Willard kindly commented on taxonomy, and helpful edits and comments were provided by Clait Braun, Monica Brooks, Bob and Maggie Honig, Peter Lowther, Christine Riehl, Janelle Mikulas, and Erin Mills.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABBOT, C. G. 1931. Birds caught in a spiders' web. Condor 33:169.
- AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION. 2011. Check-list of North American birds. American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C., USA. http://www.aou.org/ checklist/north
- ANONYMOUS. 2006. Spiders eat bird (Love is a web). www. youtube.com/watch?v=dm1JNMo8M24
- ANONYMOUS. 2007. House Wren caught in a spiders' web. www.youtube.com/watch?v=FMUE85ddcq4
- BENT, A. C. 1953. Life histories of North American wood warblers. U.S. National Museum Bulletin 203.
- BISBAL, F. J. 1986. Food habits of some neotropical carnivores in Venezuela. Mammalia 50:329–339.
- BROOKS, D. M. 1999. *Pipile* as a protein source to rural hunters and Amerindians. Pages 42–50 in Biology and conservation of the piping guans (*Pipile*) (D. M. Brooks, A. J. Begazo, and F. Olmos, Editors). Special Monograph Series of the Cracid Specialist Group Number 1.
- BROOKS, D. M., W. BURKETT, AND D. L. SARKOZI. 2008. Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*) caught in web of golden silk orb weaver (*Nephila clavipes*). Bulletin of the Texas Ornithological Society 41:27.
- BROWN, K. M. 1981. Foraging ecology and niche partitioning in orb-weaving spiders. Oecologia 50:380–385.
- BRUCE, S. F., T. M. BREWER, AND M. E. HERBERSTEIN. 2005. Spider signals: are web decorations visible to birds and bees? Biological Letters 1:299–302.
- CARIGNAN, J. M. 1988. Predation on Rufous Hummingbird by praying mantid. Texas Journal of Science 40: 111.
- CHEKE, R. A. AND C. F. MANN. 2001. Sunbirds: a guide to the sunbirds, flowerpeckers, spiderhunters and sugarbirds of the world. Christopher Helm, A & C Black, London, United Kingdom.
- COALE, H. K. 1912. *Dendroica aestiva* captured by a spider. Auk 29:105.
- COX, J. A. AND C. C. NESMITH. 2007. Acadian Flycatcher caught in the web of a golden silk orb-weaver. Florida Field-Naturalist 35:46–48.
- DEAN, T. 1984. Goldcrest trapped in spider's web. British Birds 77:569.
- DOBERSKI, J. W. 1973. Spotted Flycatcher entangled in spider's web. British Birds 66:401.
- DONNELLY, B. G. 1980. Jameson's Firefinch caught in a spider's web. Honeyguide 102:34.
- DOVE, C. J., R. W. SNOW, M. R. ROCHFORD, AND F. J. MAZZOTTI. 2011. Birds consumed by the invasive Burmese python (*Python molurus bivittatus*) in Everglades National Park, Florida, USA. Wilson Journal of Ornithology 123:126–131.

- DUNNING, J. B. 2008. CRC Handbook of avian body masses. Second Edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- ENGEL, D. 2006. Bird victim of spider. Newsletter of the Ecological Consultants Association of NSW 15/16: 9–10.
- FORBUSH, E. H. AND T. B. MAY. 1939. Natural history of birds of eastern and central North America. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- GILL, F. AND D. DONSKER (Editors). 2011. IOC World Bird Names (Version 2.10). Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA. http://www.worldbirdnames. org/
- GOSLING, C. L. 1984. A troglodytic nightmare. Jack-Pine Warbler 62:78.
- GRAHAM, D. L. 1997. Spider webs and windows as potentially important sources of hummingbird mortality. Journal of Field Ornithology 68:98–101.
- GRANT, J. 1959. Hummingbirds attacked by wasps. Canadian Field-Naturalist 73:174.
- GRAVES, G. R. 1978. Predation on hummingbird by oropendola. Condor 80:251.
- GRIFFITHS, J. R. AND V. R. SALANITRI. 1980. The strength of spider silk. Journal of Materials Science 15:491– 496.
- HAGER, S. B., B. DZIADYK, AND K. J. MCKAY. 2009. Birdplant entanglement: a review and addition of the Least Flycatcher. Wilson Journal of Ornithology 121:648– 651.
- HECK, B. A. AND C. H. HECK. 2001. Common Yellowthroat captured in a spider's web. Bulletin of the Oklahoma Ornithological Society 34:19–20.
- HIGGINS, L. E. 1987. Time budget and prey of *Nephila clavipes* (Linnaeus) (Araneae, Araneidae) in southern Texas. Journal of Arachnology 15:401–417.
- HOFSLUND, P. B. 1977. Dragonfly attacks and kills a Rubythroated Hummingbird. Loon 49:238.
- KIRKHAM, S. D. 1925. A spider traps a hummingbird. New York State Museum Bulletin 260:34–36.
- LEVY, C. 1987. The spider and the hummingbird. Grosse Bird Club Broadsheet 3/87:4–5.
- LIMA, S. L. 1993. Ecological and evolutionary perspectives on escape from predatory attack: a survey of North American birds. Wilson Bulletin 105:1–47.
- LOCKWOOD, M. E. 1922. Hummingbird and bass. Bird Lore 24:94.
- LUBIN, Y. D. 1978. Seasonal abundance and diversity of web-building spiders in relationship to habitat structure on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Journal of Arachnology 6:31–51.
- MACKAY, G. H. 1929. A spider (Argiope aurantia) and a bird (Astragalinus tristis tristis). Auk 36:123–124.
- MALKIN, B. 2008. Giant spider eating a bird caught on camera. Telegraph, London, United Kingdom. http://www. telegraph.co.uk/earth/main.jhtml?view=DETAILS& grid=&xml=/earth/2008/10/22/easpider122.xml
- MAYR, E. 1966. Hummingbird caught by Sparrow Hawk. Auk 83:664.
- MCCOOK, H. C. 1889. American spiders and their spinningwork: a natural history of the orbweaving spiders of

the United States, with special regard to their industry and habits. Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

- MCKENZIE, P. M. 1991. A Ruby-throated Hummingbird (Archilochus colubris) trapped in a spider's web. Journal of the Louisiana Ornithological Society 1:54– 58.
- MILLER, R. S. AND C. L. GASS. 1985. Survivorship in hummingbirds: is predation important? Auk 102: 175–178.
- MORRIS, B. 1963. Bird-eating spiders. African Wildlife 17:343.
- NORRIS-ELYE, L. S. T. 1944. Leopard frogs devouring small birds. Auk 61:643–644.
- PELOSO, P. L. AND V. P. DE SOUSA. 2007. Predation on *Todirostrum cinereum* (Tyrannidae) by the orb-web spider *Nephilengys cruentata* (Aranae, Nephilidae). Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia 15:461–463.
- PRATT, E. 1974. Spiderweb grounds Cuckoo Shrike. Sunbird 5:26.
- RIDDELL, I. C. 2001. To catch a spider-or the other way around! Honeyguide 47:197-198.
- ROBINSON, C. 1941. Fork-tailed Drongo caught in a spider's web. Ostrich 11:136.
- ROBINSON, M. H. AND H. MIRICK. 1971. The predatory behavior of the golden-web spider *Nephila clavipes* (Araneae: Araneidae). Psyche 78:123–139.
- ROBINSON, M. H. AND B. ROBINSON. 1976. Ecology and behavior of *Nephila maculata*: a supplement. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 218.
- Ross, L. H. 1950. Northern Yellowthroat, *Geothlypis* trichas, caught in a spider web. Auk 67:521–522.
- RYPSTRA, A. L. 1985. Aggregations of *Nephila clavipes* (L.) (Araneae, Arandeidae) in relation to prey availability. Journal of Arachnology 13:71–78.
- SAKAI, W. W. 2007. Long billed Hermit (*Phaetornis superciliosus*) caught in golden orb-spider (*Nephilia clavipes*) web. Ornitologia Neotropical 18:117–119.
- SHAW, J. R. 1994. Red-headed Weaver caught in a spider's web. Honeyguide 40:250.
- SHEAR, W. A. 1986. Spiders. Stanford University Press, San Francisco, California, USA.
- STOTT, K. 1951. An Anna Hummingbird caught in a spider web. Condor 53:49–50.
- TERRES, J. K. 1939. Grasshopper Sparrow caught in spider's web. Auk 56:342.
- VERNON, C. 1976. Red-faced Crombec caught in a spider's web. Honeyguide 85:41.
- WAIDE, R. B. AND J. P. HAILMAN. 1977. Birds of five families feeding from spider's webs. Wilson Bulletin 89:345.
- WEBBER, J. I. 1974. Blue Waxbill caught in a spider's web. Ostrich 45:262.
- WEEMS, H. V. AND G. B. EDWARDS. 1978. The golden silk orb weaver, *Nephila clavipes* (Araneae: Tetragnathidae). Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry, Entomology Circular Number 193.
- WOODS, R. S. 1934. A hummingbird entangled in a spider's web. Condor 36:242.