(Larus californicus). Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 13:161-171.

Висникреми, D. М., М. Соредили, мир J. W. Вядреокр. 1999. Translocation of orphaned Red-cockaded Woodpecker nestlings. J. Field Omithol. 70:400–403.

WALTERS, J. R. 1990. The Red-cockaded Woodpecker: a "primitive" cooperative breeder. Pp. 67-101 in Cooperative breeding in birds: Jong term studies of ecology and behavior (P. B. Stacey and W. D. Koenig, Eds.). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge Finalised

Cambridge, England. WALTERS, J. R., P. D. DOERR, AND J. H. CARTER, III. 1988. The cooperative breeding system of the Red-cockaded

Woodpecker. Ethology. 78:275–305. Warrees, J. R., III, C. K. Соретом, AND J. H. Сактея. 1992. A test of the ecological basis of cooperative breeding in Redcockaded Woodpeckers. Auk 109:90–97.

BAYAN DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE ALONG A GRADIENT OF

## DANTELLA MUALLEN<sup>1</sup> AND DANTEL M. BROOKS<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rice University; Dept. of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology; 6100 Main St.; Houston, Texas 77005–1892 <sup>2</sup> Houston Museum of Natural Science; Dept. of Vertebrate Zoology; One Hermann Circle Dr.; Houston, Texas 77030–1799

weather events, as the latter were for the most part statistically insignificant. ties studied are likely attributed to habitat and channelization differences rather than abiotic exhibits low water bird abundance and diversity. The composition of the aquatic bird communistitute for the natural riparian system as it does not resemble any other natural ecosystem and nature of this wooded riverine segment. The moderately channelized site is an inadequate subardeids represented a high proportion of the community at Arboretum, reflecting the pristine at Brays were shorebirds due to the similarity of this habitat to Texas Coastal flats. In contrast, tion due to variation in extent of channelization. The species accounting for highest abundance the only species shared among all three sites, suggesting strong differences in species composiand lowest at Allen (mean = 5 individuals; r = 0-14). Little Blue Heron (Egretta caerulea) was nelized site (Allen). Bird abundance was highest along Brays (mean = 34 individuals; r = 10-59), the completely unchannelized site (Arboretum) and seven were observed at the moderately chanobserved at the site that had been channelized most extensively (Brays), nine were observed at Bayou), representing gradients of channelization. Of 15 recorded guilds and species, 11 were along three different Houston bayou transects (two along Buffalo Bayou, and one along Brays 

### INTRODUCTION

As human urbanization extends into natural environments, it changes them, thereby creating an entirely new set of ecosystems. The effects of human activity on fundamental natural resources are becoming so widespread that completely pristine areas are increasingly difficult to find. The environments that take their places are mosaics of urban and rural characteristics: gardens, parks, and urban streams are a few examples. Because these systems have developed quite recently, both they and their effects on native species remain largely uncharacterized (Gilbert 1991).

A classic example of an area in which human intervention has modified the ecosystem so dramatically that it has reshaped the environment is Houston's Bayou systems. Since the establishment of the City of Houston, chamnelization of the Bayou has completely transformed it from a riparian ecosystem into a series of very different environments. The implications of this differentiation upon since the establishment of the City of Houston, chamenvironments. The implications of this differentiation upon set establishment for the City of Houston, water environments. The implications of this differentiation upon set a development gradient of bayou sterms. Because and season upon water bird diversity and abundance along a development gradient of bayou sterms. Because water birds are directly affected by changes in biotic and abiotic factors, they are ideal subjects for this study. In order for several species to coexist, each must adapt to utilize a particular set of local resources.

2E-mail: dbrooks@hmns.org

Bull. Texas Omith. Soc. 34(2); 2001

Thus, if an ecosystem is disturbed through human intervention, the biotic and abiotic factors to which organisms have adapted in the natural environment can change drastically. This may ultimately eliminate many of the niches in a certain environment and can therefore lead to the disappearance of certain species (Brooks 1998).

The abundance of many species fluctuates seasonally with local and long-distance migration (Brooks 1997). Seasonal changes, however, do not reflect human influence on the bayou system. It is important to measure sea-

sonality because it could bias data, leading to a misrepresentation of the effects of human interference. Changing water levels reflect a significant change in the bayou environment and should certainly be considered in relation to bird diversity and abundance. (Changes in the weather (e.g., heavy rain), however, can also cause changes in water level and lead to flooding, or influence bird behavior otherwise (Childs 1988). This provides another example of how the effects of a natural factor could be wrongly attributed to human This provides another example of how the effects of a natural factor could be wrongly attributed to influence. Additionally, weather can strongly influence bird movement, and some species are constrained to association with precise water levels (Hayes and Fox 1991).

# METHODS

We examined three areas representing a gradient from complete to lack of channelization. Brays Bayou (hereafter Brays) was completely channelized with concrete banks and landscaped on top. Buffalo Bayou along Allen Parkway (hereafter Allen) represented a natural nyerine situation along the banks but more heavily landscaped on the land surrounding the Bayou. Bayou bordering the Houston Arboretum (heredere Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Houston Arboretum (heredere Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Houston Arboretum (hereter Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou. Buffalo Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou bordering the Bayou bordering the Bayou bordering the Bayou bordering the Abarea on the land surrounding the Bayou bordering the Bayou bord

after Aboretum) represented a 'pristine' bayou system. Each transect was 3 km in length. The Brays transect ran along Braeswood Blvd. from Greenbriat to Braes Blvd., the Allen transect ran along Allen Parkway from downtown to Shepherd St., and the Arboretum transect ran along Buffalo Bayou from loop 610 to the vicinity of River Oaks Country Club. Transects were sampled weekly (eight times/site) at Brays and Allen. Sampling at Brays took place on Transects were sampled weekly (eight times/site) at Brays and Allen. Sampling at Brays took place on

March 2, 18, 26, April 1, 9, 15, 29, and May 6. Sampling along Allen took place on February 26, March 17, 24, 31, April 7, 16, 23, and May 5. Two samples were accomplished April 8 and 23 at the Arboretum site. All surveys took place 07:00–09:00. For Brays and Allen, strip transects were walked along the bayou lip. Cance sampling was used at the Arboretum since continuous bank access for transects was not possible. DM



Figure 1. Species accumulation curves. The Y-axis represents cumulative species richness, and the X-axis represents successive sample numbers.

Bull. Texas Omith. Soc. 34(2): 2001

12

District's website (hcoem.org). Airport website (srh.noaa.gov), and water level data were obtained from Harris' County Flood Control I, partly cloudy = 2, moderately cloudy = 3, overcast = 4. Temperature data were obtained from the Hobby (1985, 1994). Cloud cover was recorded, and ranked using the following scale: clear = 0, slightly cloudy = tacts (Ralph 1981). Unknown species were identified using Peterson (1947) and Rappole and Blacklock visually recorded numbers of species restricted to aquatic systems (Table 1), using unlimited distance con-

nificance with cloud cover pairings. perature and water-level pairings, and non-parametric Spearman rank correlations were used to test for sigputer program SPSS (1996), Pearson product-moment correlations were used to test for significance with temstandard deviation computations with a TI-35X statistical calculator (Fowlet and Cohen n.d.). Using the comindividuals recorded during study / number of sampling sessions. Species pervasiveness was measured using Abundance values (Table 1) were computed following Brooks (2000), where Abundance = total number of

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

est along Brays (mean = 34 individual/sample; r = 10-59) and lowest at Allen (mean = 5 nine at the Arboretum site, and seven at Allen (Table 1). Similarly, individual bird abundance was high-Fifteen guilds and species of water birds were recorded during this study; 11 were observed at Brays, Diversity

ever, suggest an unexpected pattern of differentiation. Although Brays is more channelized than Allen, diverral environment creates more niches and therefore higher diversity. Observations from Brays and Allen howand 9 species respectively, Brays = 5 and 8, Allen = 3 and 4). This supports the hypothesis that a more natu-T = 1 and 2<sup>bd</sup> samples at Arboretum than the other two sites (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>bd</sup> samples at Arboretum = 7 diverse if it were sampled more intensively. Indeed, the species accumulation curves (Fig. 1) were higher dur-Though lower in richness than Brays, the unchannelized Arboretum site may actually have proven more .(91-9 = 1; siqms/slaubivibni

individuals/sample; t = 0-14), with the Arboretum site again in the middle of the distribution (mean = 14

Channelization sity is much higher at Brays.

suggests strong variation in species composition due to differences in degree of channelization. number of species shared among sites indicates high species turnover due to extremely different habitats. This The only species that was present at all three sites was the Little Blue Heron (Egretta caerulea). The low

#### Table 1. Values of species abundance and fluctuations

Fluctuations		Abundance					
aəllA	Brays	лофіА	nəllA	Brays	Latin Name	Common Name	
	81.0	15.5		52.0	penoge xiA	Wood Duck	
61.0		<b>č.</b> 0	0.12		Μεβαςειγίε αίςγοη	Belted Kingfisher	
	10.7	5.0		IST.EI	+	Shorebirds	
0.42	5.04		z29'0	ele p	Charadrius vociferus	Killdeer	
10.4	6°I		ISI	\$2874	Larus delawarensis	Ring-billed Gull	
61.0	\$9.0		0.12	52.1	L atricilla	Iluo gaidgus.	
0.23			ELE O		Pandion heoastal	Osprey	
£L'0	94.0		,57'0	78.1	Phalacrocorax sp.	Cormorant	
61.0	27.0	r£	0.12	21.1	Egrena caerulea	Little Blue Heron	
	15.0	I		\$1.0	E. thula	Snowy Egret	
	61.0	I		21.0	Ardea herodias	Great Blue Heron	
	14.5			279"L	Bubulcus ibis	Cattle Egret	
	81.0	51		52.0	Butorides virescens	Green Heron	
		SI			Νγετίεσταχ νίολαεεα	Yellow Cr. Night Heron	
		50			Eudocimus albus	White Ibis	
		6	L	п		TOTAL SPP. GROUPS	

+Least Sandpiper (Calidris minutilla) and Greater Yellowlegs (Tringa melanoleuca) are dominant species. Species showing the strongest patterns are represented in bold; superscripts represent order of abundance.

Bull. Texas Ornith. Soc. 34(2); 2001

shorebirds were completely absent or extremely rare at the other two sites, most likely due to water being too exception of Killdeer (Charadrius vociferus), which were associated with the open, grassy banks at Allen, abundance for the birds, and simulate coastal flats that shorebirds are frequently associated with. With the mities: the flat concrete bank being covered with algae, combined with shallow water level, provide high prey shorebirds (Table 1). The reason for these species abundance is likely attributed to optimal foraging opportubirds that frequent Texas coastline. The most abundant group along the completely channelized Brays site was Brays resembles coastal flats in many crucial ways, and this has perhaps created a niche ideally suited to

eral species of ardeids that are often associated with this type of habitat. site (Table 1). The pristine, wooded riverine tributary at the Arboretum provides an ideal environment for sevspecies of ardeids (i.e., herons and egrets) accounted for the most abundant species at the pristine Arboretum Next to the Wood Duck (Aix sponsa), a species strongly associated with wooded riverine tributary, five deep, and the presence of vegetation along the water.

before sampling began, while delineating transects in February. (Pandion haliaetus) was not recorded at the other sites during the actual study, its presence was noted at Brays Brays, likely due to the completely open nature of Brays and lack of adequate perching sites. Although Osprey with a variety of habitats. Interestingly however, Belted Kingfisher (Megaceryle alcyon) was absent from most abundant at Allen are not necessarily associated with beach or riverine forest, but rather are associated characteristics in common with the riparian forest, it is also different in many ways. The species that were traced to the type of channelization that this area of the bayou has undergone. Although this habitat has a few The low abundance of ardeids at Allen (moderately channelized) is rather unexpected, and can probably be

VILLBROOZESC

2ci. 16: 208-225.

Species with standard deviation values exceeding 1.00 (Table 2) were considered to have significant fluc-

tuations in Ring-billed Gull abundance for example were likely influenced by water level (see below). These results reinforce that little seasonality was observed when considering all species in this study. The flucresented the only species shared between the two sites with significant fluctuations in abundance (Table 2). fluctuations were most apparent in shorebirds at the Brays site, and Ring-billed Gull (Larus delawarensis) repwhereas only one out of seven (14%) at Allen showed significant fluctuations in abundance (Table 2). These tuations in abundance. Four out of 11 species (36%) at Brays showed significant fluctuations in abundance,

abundant with higher water levels. during higher water levels may be tied to optimal foraging conditions, with preferred fish prey being more algal mats are exposed along the banks during lower water levels. Ring-billed Gull abundance increasing level (r = 0.747, P = 0.033). Diversity increasing is likely due to increased shorebird abundance when the with lower water level (t = -0.712, P = 0.048), and Ring-billed Gull abundance increased with higher water diversity and abundance was negative for all correlations at Allen. However, at Brays diversity increased Killdeer activity increasing during warmer temperature. The relationship between water level and avian encountered more with increased temperature at Allen (t = 0.765, P = 0.027). This may be an artitact of between an individual species and cloud cover or temperature. This exception is Killdeer, which was were found between diversity and cloud cover or temperature, and only one significant relationship avian diversity and abundance. For Allen and Brays, or both sites combined, no significant relationships Correlation analysis revealed rather little significance between various abiotic weather parameters and

### **VCKNOWLEDGMENTS**

the species list in Table 1. Thanks to Keith Arnold and Jack Eitniear for their helpful comments. Bayou avifauna, and to these two individuals and Fred Collins for commenting on the shorebird guild, and/or ues in Table 2. We also wish to thank Bob Behrstock and Bob Honig for preliminary insights of Houston's and Wick Block (Rice Univ.) for help in the field, and to Ron Howell for computing standard deviation val-(HMMS) and Alan Thornhill (Rice Univ.). We thank Mark Bersche (Houston Arboretum), Jennifer Drummond This project was done by DM as part of her Research Problems Course, where she was co-advised by DB

#### LITTERATURE CITED

BROOKS, D.M. 1998. Competition and Coexistence in Neotropical Birds: a Latitudinal Comparison. Ph.D. Disser, Texas BROOKS, D.M. 1997. Avian seasonality at a locality in the central Paraguayan Chaco. Homero 14: 193-203.

CHILDS, J. 1998. Avian diversity and habitat use within the Flower Garden Banks National Marine Sanctuary. Gulf Mex. BROOKS, D.M. 2000. Habitat variability as a predictor of rarity in Neotropical mammals. Vida Silv. Neotrop. 7(2-3). A&M Univ., College Station.

Bull. Texas Omith. Soc. 34(2): 2001

FOWLER, J. AND L. COHEN. Not Dated. Statistics for Ormithologists. BTO Guide 22.

HAVES, F. AND J.A. FOX. 1991. Seasonality, habitat use, and flock sizes of shorebirds at the Bahia de Asuncion, Paraguay. GILBERT, O.L. 1991. The Ecology of Urban Habitats. Chapman and Hall, London.

Wils. Bull. 103: 637-649.

RALPH, C.J. 1981. Terminology used in estimating numbers of birds. In: Estimating Numbers of Terrestrial Birds (C.J. Ralph PETERSON, R.T. 1947. A Field Guide to the Birds. Houghton-Mifflin Co., Boston.

RAPPOLE, J.H. AND G.W. BLACKLOCK. 1985. Birds of the Texas Coastal Bend: Abundance and Distribution. Texas A&M and J.M. Scott, Eds.). Stud. Avian Biol 6, or influ

Univ. Press, Coll. Station.

RAPPOLE, J.H. AND G.W. BLACKLOCK. 1994. Birds of Texas: A Field Guide. Texas A&M Univ. Press, Coll. Station.

SPSS, 1996. SPSS 7.5 for Windows. SPSS, Inc.

# SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

# **OBERHOLSER'S BIBLIOGRAPHY OF TEXAS BIRDS**

Department of Biology, University of Mary Hardin-Baylor, Bellon, Texas 76513 STANLEY D. CASTO

accomplished by listing entries by year of publication rather than alphabetically by author. A brief annotation Oberholser arranged his bibliography to reflect the historical development of ornithology in Texas. This was his original bibliography (Oberholser n.d.) also includes a large number of articles published in sport magazines. the observations of sportsmen were of "considerable value" and, in addition to citations of technical literature, a publication must furnish "definite Texas information regarding some bird or birds." Oberholser believed that pertinent literature from earliest times through 1945. Inclusion in the bibliography was based on the criterion that It was the intent of H.C. Oberholser that his monograph on Texas birds would include a bibliography of all

researchers. Microfilm copies (6 rolls) may also be purchased from The Center. This note describes the University of Texas in Austin. Both the typescript and a microfilm copy are available on site to The typescript of The Bird Life of Texas is archived in The Center For American History at the naming the species discussed or the conclusions of the paper accompanied each entry.

# .exas ni vgolodimo limited to those references published before 1900 since they represent the early formative period of differences between the bibliography of the typescript and the published monograph. The comparison is

# **KEVISION OF THE BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Rowlett (Oberholser 1974:xvii). million to one million words. Editing and updating of the 572 page bibliography was assigned to Rose Ann December 1963. The major challenge facing Kincaid and his assistants was reducing the typescript from three Edgar B. Kincaid, Jr. was placed in charge of revising the typescript following Oberholser's death on 25

alphabetically by author rather than by year of publication. were removed (Table 2). The majority of the annotations were deleted and it was also decided to list articles and Fancier, The Osprey, and Random Notes on Natural History. All references to articles in Gefiederte Welt Stream were, for the most part, deleted as were entries from ephemeral journals such as The Curlew, Naturalist before 1900 (Table 1). Articles in sport magazines such as American Field, Chicago Field and Forest and ing of the older entries. This was accomplished by the deletion of 83% (1,223 entries) of all articles published Rowlett faced a daunting task. In order to include recent literature, there would need to be a severe prun-

## SIGNIFICANCE OF THE REVISIONS

Deletions of most of the annotations and over 80% of the pre-1900 literature helped achieve the goal of reduc-

E-mail: Sscasto2@aol.com Present address:889 Nola Ruth, Harker Heights, TX 76548.

Bull. Texas Omith. Soc. 34(2); 2001

the work of one of the most productive omithologists in early Texas, i.e., Henry Mehrling who, in 1883, was er value. Removal of titles published in Gefiederte Welt and Zoologische Garten effectively obscured much of most articles from sport magazines (Table 2) sent a clear signal that information from these sources was of lessattainment of this goal worked contrary to Oberholser's vision of a comprehensive bibliography. Elimination of ing the length of the typescript while, at the same time, allowing for the inclusion of recent literature. However,

Obemolser's arrangement of articles by their year of publication provided a means by which the year-byelected a fellow of the American Omithologist Union based primarily on work done in Texas (Stone 1932).

provide a unique view of Texas bird life and how it was perceived by observers of the 19th century. teurs could publish their observations. And, while the information from these sources is often trivial, it does al journals and sport magazines (Table 2). These publications were often the only outlets though which amamore difficult. Perhaps more significant, at least to the historians, was the deletion of articles from ephemeryear development of Texas omithology could be followed. The revised arrangement makes this process much

unedited typescript and to make it available to future generations. this potential loss, Kincaid and the advisory committee overseeing the revision wisely chose to preserve the a discipline loses or cannot readily access its literature, it also loses contact with its origins. Being aware of necessary to focus attention on more recent and relevant research. However, it can also be argued that when can be argued that the deleted entries contained information of only minimal value and that their removal was bibliography of Texas birds was a relatively complete but, in many ways, an uncritical record of the past. It Oberholser's career as an ornithologist spanned the latter part of the 19th century and much of the 20th. His

### LITERATURE CITED

OBERHOLSER, H.C. (n.d.) Typescript of The Bird Life of Texas (microfilm copy). Center For American History, University

.nutsuA is as Austin.

STONE, W. 1932. In memoriam-Henry Nehrling, 1858-1929. Auk 49:153-158. . 1974. The Bird Life of Texas. Austin: University of Texas Press.

# **RED-COCKADED WOODPECKER FEMALE VN UNUSUALLY LARGE NUMBER OF EGGS LAID BY A BREEDING**

Vacogdoches, Texas 75962 Wildlife Habitat and Silviculture Laboratory, Southern Research Station, U.S.D.A. Forest Service, RICHARD N. CONNER,<sup>1,3</sup> DANTEL SAENZ,<sup>1</sup> AND JAMES R. MCCORMICK<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, Stephen F. Austin State Univ., Nacogdoches, Texas 75962

1987). Each group of Red-cockaded Woodpeckers usually produces one nest per breeding season, but will eral helpers, which are typically male offspring of previous breeding seasons (Ligon 1970, Lennartz et al. termed the cluster, is inhabited by a group of woodpeckers that includes a single breeding pair and up to seva single cavity for nesting (Ligon 1970, Walters et al. 1988). A single tree, or aggregation of cavity trees, The Red-cockaded Woodpecket (Picoides borealis) is a cooperatively breeding species that typically uses

years 1820 through 1899. Table 1. Numbers of articles cited in the typescript and published version of The Bird Life of Texas for the

(%E8) E22,1	(%/1) 052	(%001) £74,1	STATOT
404	95	055	6681-0681
411	155	665	6881-0881
161	86	525	6/81-0/81
53	L	OE	6981-0981
SE	61	75	6581-0581
8	4	15	1840-1846
I	£	*	6681-0681
0	τ	I	1820-1829
Difference	Number of Articles Cited in Monograph	Number of Articles Cited in Typescript	Xears

Bull. Texas Ornith. Soc. 34(2): 2001

52